Form PTO-447A (Rev. 7-98)	Staple to face of Application	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION TRANSFER REQUEST FOR S.N. 10045571

Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY

Name **HUFF, MARK F** Date **5/30/2003**

TO: Art Unit **2186** Class/sub **711/_____**

FROM: A.U. **1756** Class _____

REASON:
AU 2824 suggested 711 in 2186 since it claims a method of programming radiation hardened memory.

Gatekeeper concurrence _____

Section IIa. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC

By: BRAGDON, REGINALD GLENWOOD
A.U. 2186 Date 6/11/2003

NOT ACCEPTED ☑ Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier

REASON:
The claimed "programming" is not a data processing operation applicable to class 711. The programming is a low-level programming appropriate for class 365. "Irradiating" a memory to harden the memory does not belong in class 711.

Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER

☐ This dispute was resolved.   Forward to TC/AU _____ Class/Sub _____ / _____ Post Classifier ___·___ Date _____

Concurring _____

☐ This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Post Classifier Assessment:

Gatekeeper concurrence _____   Post Classifier _____ Date _____

Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Date _____

Panel Decision: Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit _____ Class/sub _____ / _____

REASON:

Panel Member _____   Concurring Panel Member _____

#2

Form PTO-447A
(Rev. 7-98)

Staple to face of Application

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION TRANSFER REQUEST FOR S.N. 10045571

Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY

Name HUFF, MARK F Date 5/30/2003

TO: Art Unit 2186 Class/sub 711/_____

FROM: A.U. 1756 Class *6 70*

REASON:
AU 2824 suggested 711 in 2186 since it claims a method of programming radiation hardened memory.

Gatekeeper concurrence _____

Section IIa. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC

By: _____ A.U. _____ Date _____

NOT ACCEPTED ☐ Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier

REASON:

Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER

☐ This dispute was resolved.   Forward to TC/AU _____ Class/Sub _____/_____ Post Classifier _____ Date _____

Concurring _____

☐ This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Post Classifier Assessment:

Gatekeeper concurrence _____

Post Classifier _____ Date _____

Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Date _____

Panel Decision:

Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit _____ Class/sub _____/_____

REASON:

Panel Member _____

Concurring Panel Member _____